



**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

For the six months ended March 31, 2014

(Expressed in US dollars)

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

INTRODUCTION

The following is management discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations of Majestic Gold Corp. ("Majestic" or "the Company") for the three and six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 and up to the date of this MD&A, and should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto for the three and six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 as well as with the audited consolidated financial statements and related MD&A for the year ended September 30, 2013.

The financial information in this MD&A is derived from the Company's financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and all dollar amounts are expressed in US dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Additional information relating to the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

This MD&A contains information to May 30, 2014.

OVERVIEW

- Gold production for the second quarter of 2014 increased by 13.5% to 5,712 ounces compared to 5,033 ounces produced in the second quarter of 2013.
- Gold sales revenue for the second quarter of 2014 was \$7.5 million from the sale of 5,466 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,367 per ounce, compared to revenue of \$8.4 million from the sale of 5,144 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,626 per ounce, for the second quarter of 2013;
- Second quarter of 2014 average cash costs were \$1,009 per ounce compared to \$1,161 per ounce for the second quarter of 2013.
- Gross profit was \$1.7 million for the second quarter of 2014, compared to \$2.4 million for the comparative quarter of 2013;
- General and administrative expenses decreased to \$1.2 for the second quarter of 2014. This represents a 58% decrease of \$1.6 million from the first quarter of 2014 and over a 50% decrease of \$1.2 million from the comparative quarter of 2013.

OUTLOOK

The Company commenced the process of reviewing its operations at the Songjiagou Gold Mine ("Songjiagou" or the "Project") in an effort to more fully realize the potential of the Project. The Company expects this process to be completed during the third quarter of 2014, with the implementation of any necessary changes to begin during the fourth quarter of 2014.

During December 2013, the Company's management began a comprehensive review of its general and administrative expenses in an effort to identify and implement cost savings for the Company. Management has been successful by making several changes to reduce its general and administrative expenditures. The Company began to realize the benefits in December 2013 and the results of the second quarter of 2014 reflect management's efforts in reducing the Company's general and administrative expenses.

DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

Majestic is a Vancouver, Canada based gold producer with mining operations in China. The Company's main business involves the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties. At March 31, 2014, and at the date of this MD&A, all of the Company's mineral property interests and mining operations are located in China. The Company is a TSX Venture Exchange listed mining company under the symbol "MJS".

The Company's principal mining operation is the Songjiagou Gold Mine, of the Muping mineral property, located in Shandong province, China. Majestic holds its interest in Muping through its 94% owned

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

subsidiary Majestic Yantai Gold Ltd. ("Majestic Yantai"). The Company commenced commercial gold production at the Songjiagou Gold Mine in May 2011.

SONGJIAGOU GOLD MINE

The Songjiagou Gold Mine is the Company's flagship project. The Company began commercial production in May 2011. The Company's joint venture partner Yantai Dahedong Processing Company Ltd., ("Dahedong") has held the contract to mine and process ore since startup.

The Company is currently focused on reviewing operations at the Songjiagou Mine. Once this review is complete, the Company expects to implement the necessary operational changes necessary to more fully realize the potential of Songjiagou. It is expected that the mine will be unable to produce more than 20,000 ounces gold per year until some of these changes are implemented.

Below is a summary of the August 21, 2013 SRK Consulting (China) Ltd.'s ("SRK") Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") containing recommendations for expanded production at the Songjiagou operation. Of the five production scenarios set out by SRK in the report, the Company is restricted to the scenarios that involve mine infrastructure currently in place. The Company has been unable to realize the full potential of the Songjiagou Gold Mine due, in a large part, to the lack of consistent grade control of the material entering the mill after being mined in the pit. At present, the Company's management intends to limit production to the capacity of the 6,000 tpd mill until such time that pit control procedures have been implemented successfully, thus improving current gold production through increased mill heads and curtailing the necessity of further capital expenditure until the present operation is operating more efficiently.

RESOURCE

On August 21, 2013, the Company announced that SRK completed a NI 43-101 Technical Report in support of the PEA for the Songjiagou Gold Project located in Shandong Province, People's Republic of China. The NI 43-101 Technical Report is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

SRK was requested to conduct a PEA of developing the Project. In the assessment, MineSight Economic Planner (Design) was used for pit optimization, using Lerchs-Grossmann ("LG") calculations to maximize the NPV. Five scenarios for the ultimate pit were produced, as described below. The trailing five year average gold price, (i.e. RMB 273.89/g, or US\$1,355/oz), and a conversion rate of 6.2834 RMB/1\$US was used.

The PEA was prepared as an open pit mining project and SRK was asked to look at five development scenarios:

1. utilizing only indicated resources and the existing mill capacity (7,400 tpd);
2. utilizing all of the indicated and inferred mineral resources but not relocating the two nearby villages (mill expansion to 10,000 tpd);
- 2A. utilizing all of the indicated and inferred mineral resources but not relocating the two nearby villages and utilizing the existing mill capacity (7,400 tpd);
3. utilizing all of the indicated and inferred mineral resources and relocating the two nearby villages (mill expansion to 12,000 tpd); and
- 3A. utilizing all of the indicated and inferred mineral resources, relocating the two nearby villages and using the existing mill capacity (7,400 tpd).

The five year trailing average gold price of US\$1,355 per ounce was used for the PEA.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

The pre-tax net present value ("NPV") established by the PEA is summarized in following table:

Scenario	Mill Throughput	NPV (10%) (US\$ x 1,000,000)
1	7,400 tpd	477
2	10,000 tpd	777
2A	7,400 tpd	702
3	12,000 tpd	1,056
3A	7,400 tpd	782

Based on the estimates in the PEA, Majestic plans to move ahead with the continued development of the Project, including more detailed engineering studies as well as applications for expanded mining licenses.

Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The PEA is preliminary in nature and is based, in part, on inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the preliminary assessment will be realized. All figures are rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the estimate.

The Company cautions that the cash flow models and resulting NPV's were done on a pre-tax basis. After tax, NPV's would be adjusted downward after considering depreciation and other allowable expenses and deduction of Chinese corporate taxes (currently estimated at 25%).

A summary of the main sections of the PEA are as follows:

Mineral Resource Estimation

As of January 31, 2013, at an Au cut-off grade of 0.3 g/t, within the mining license and exploration permit area, the Songjiagou Gold Project contains the following mineral resources:

Category	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Contained Au (oz)
Indicated	28,615	1.38	1,269,000
Inferred	35,309	1.43	1,623,000

Included within the total resource and entirely within the boundaries of the current mining license, the Songjiagou Gold Project contains:

Category	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Contained Au (oz)
Indicated	25,935	1.42	1,184,000
Inferred	28,690	1.35	1,245,000

Mineral resources do not demonstrate economic viability, and there is no certainty that these mineral resources will be converted into mineable reserves once economic considerations are applied.

Mining Methods

The Songjiagou Gold Project is currently in production, with a mining capacity of 5,000 to 10,000 tpd.

Mining activities have been outsourced to Dahedong, and mining equipment is also being supplied by the contractor. The PEA is based on conventional open pit mining using excavators to load 40 tonne trucks which haul ore to the processing plant - a distance of about 4 km. Ore break is by self-propelled air track drills. Benches are 10 m high. The overall slope of the pit is 48°. The mine is scheduled to operate 330 days per year, 3 shifts per day and 8 hours per shift.

Recovery Methods

The Songjiagou Mine has three processing plants. Two of them were put into operation in 2006 with capacities of 200 tpd and 1,200 tpd and the third was put into operation in May 2011 with a capacity of 6,000 tpd. The 200 tpd mill and the 1,200 tpd mill are not being utilized at this time; all material mined currently is processed in the 6,000 tpd mill.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

Similar flowsheets are adopted in the two plants which process the ore mined from the open pit (the 1,200 tpd plant and the 6,000 tpd plant). The flow sheet comprises conventional three-stage crushing and grinding in a closed circuit. The slurry is subjected to a flotation circuit comprising of one stage of roughing, one stage of cleaning, and two stages of scavenging. The gold concentrate is dehydrated and then sent for metallurgical processing at a nearby smelter.

Operating Cost

The following parameters were used to estimate the operating cost in the PEA:

- Mining cost: US\$ 1.79/t (RMB 11.3/t). Mining costs are assumed to increase by US\$ 0.05/t (RMB 0.3/t) annually;
- Stripping cost: US\$ 1.36 (RMB 8.55/t). Stripping costs are assumed to increase by US\$ 0.05/t (RMB 0.3/t) annually);
- Processing cost: US\$ 7.55/t (RMB 47.46/t);
- Administration cost: US\$ 0.72/t (RMB 4.50/t);
- Resource tax: US\$ 0.72/t (RMB 4.50/t);
- Mineral resource compensation fee: US\$ 31,830/year (RMB 200,000/year);
- Smelting cost: US\$ 15.91/t (RMB 100/t) of dry gold concentrate; and
- Exchange rate: US\$1 = RMB 6.2834 (CDN\$ 1 = RMB 6.2789).

The PEA was prepared by SRK Consulting China Ltd., under the direction of Anson Xu, PhD, FAusIMM. Dr. Xu is independent of Majestic and is a Qualified Person ("QP") as defined by National Instrument 43-101.

Stephen Kenwood, P.Geo., President and CEO of Majestic, has read and approved the technical information in this MD&A. Mr. Kenwood is a Qualified Person as defined by National Instrument 43-101.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Operating data	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Gold produced (ozs)	5,712	5,033	10,555	9,656
Gold realized net of smelting fees (ozs)	5,312	4,681	9,816	8,994
Gold sold (ozs)	5,466	5,144	8,360	7,483
Average realized gold price (\$/oz sold)	\$ 1,367	\$ 1,626	\$ 1,331	\$ 1,666
Total cash costs (\$/oz sold) ⁽¹⁾	1,009	1,161	959	1,044
Financial data				
Total revenues	\$ 7,614,531	\$ 8,681,386	\$ 11,485,724	\$ 13,025,342
Gross profit ⁽²⁾	1,662,609	2,415,302	2,661,840	4,585,315
Net loss attributable to shareholders	(134,218)	(1,021,783)	(2,273,820)	(1,119,327)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.00)
Cash and cash equivalents	10,678,892	20,256,191	10,678,892	20,256,191
Total assets	98,564,306	108,037,245	98,564,306	108,037,245
Total debt	19,246,974	17,989,932	19,246,974	17,989,932

(1) "Total cash costs" are presented on a per ounce sold basis. See "Additional Financial Measures".

(2) "Gross profit" represents total revenues, net of cost of goods sold.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Gold Production

Production data	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Tonnes mined	314,461	484,385	870,477	929,739
Tonnes milled	425,703	487,481	844,332	943,968
Head grade (g/t)	0.41	0.35	0.41	0.37
Mill recovery	88%	86%	88%	87%
Gold produced (ozs)	5,712	5,033	10,555	9,656
Gold realized, net of smelting fees (ozs)	5,312	4,681	9,816	8,994

Total gold production for the second quarter of 2014 increased by 13.5% to 5,712 ounces compared to the 5,033 ounces produced in the second quarter of 2013. For the six months ended March 31, 2014, the Company produced 10,555 ounces of gold, an increase of 9.3% compared to 9,656 ounces produced in the comparative period of 2013. Gold production was up due primarily to the increase in the head grades for an average head grade of 0.41 g/t for the six months ended March 31, 2014.

Revenues

Gold	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Ounces sold	5,466	5,144	8,360	7,483
Average realized price (\$/oz)	\$ 1,367	\$ 1,626	\$ 1,331	\$ 1,666
Revenues				
Gold	\$ 7,469,447	\$ 8,363,055	\$ 11,123,280	\$ 12,468,636
Lease	145,084	318,331	362,444	556,706
	\$ 7,614,531	\$ 8,681,386	\$ 11,485,724	\$ 13,025,342

Gold sales revenue for the second quarter of 2014 was \$7.5 million from the sale of 5,466 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,367 per ounce, compared to revenue of \$8.4 million from the sale of 5,144 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,626 per ounce, for the second quarter of 2013. Revenue declined compared to the comparative quarter of 2013, largely due to a 16% decrease in the average realized selling price of gold, which was partially offset by 6% increase in the number of gold ounces sold in the same quarter. For the six months ended March 31, 2014, gold sales revenue was \$11.1 million from the sale of 8,360 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,331 per ounce, compared to revenue of \$12.5 million from the sale of 7,483 ounces, at an average realized gold price of \$1,666 per ounce, in the comparative period of 2013. Revenue for the six months ended March 31, 2014, was 11% lower than the comparative period in 2013, due primarily to the decline in gold prices. It is expected this decline in gold prices will continue to have an impact on the Company's revenues and the Company has taken initiatives, and will continue to do so, to reduce its all-in sustaining costs.

Revenues also included mining lease revenue of \$362,444 for the six months ended March 31, 2014 (2013 - \$556,706). The mining lease agreement terminated in March 2014.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

Cost of Goods Sold

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Ounces sold	5,466	5,144	8,360	7,483
Per ounce of gold sold ⁽¹⁾				
Cash costs	\$ 1,009	\$ 1,161	\$ 959	\$ 1,044
Production costs	1,089	1,218	1,055	1,128
Cost of Goods Sold				
Total cash costs	\$ 5,514,299	\$ 5,973,105	\$ 8,017,379	\$ 7,811,474
Total production costs	5,951,922	6,266,084	8,823,884	8,440,027

(1) See "Additional Financial Measures".

The cash costs per ounce were \$1,009 for the second quarter of 2014, a decrease of 13% compared to \$1,161 per ounce for comparative quarter of 2013.

The production costs per ounce were \$1,089 for the second quarter of 2014, a decrease of 11% compared to \$1,218 per ounce for comparative quarter of 2013.

Other Items

The more significant other items for the six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are discussed below.

General and administrative ("G&A") expenses were \$1.2 million for the second quarter of 2014, resulting in a 50% decrease compared to \$2.4 million of G&A expenses for the comparative quarter of 2013. The decrease is the result of spending reductions implemented in fiscal 2014

G&A expenses were \$3.9 million for the six months ended March 31, 2014, compared to \$3.7 million for the comparative period of 2013. The current period G&A increased by 7%, primarily due to a severance payment of \$1 million paid to a company controlled by the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, which was partially offset by a reduction of overall G&A spending.

The details of the changes in G&A expenses for the three and six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Consulting and management fees	297,051	571,692	1,755,124	976,443
Depreciation	77,278	31,308	128,594	57,416
Financial Advisory Services	12,364	324,733	39,364	349,733
Office and general	465,153	380,507	743,326	703,134
Professional fees	143,852	158,347	483,282	225,449
Salaries and benefits	64,801	165,588	216,666	328,478
Shareholder communications	79,792	109,829	124,934	132,608
Travel	34,836	640,251	457,348	910,942
Total	1,175,127	2,382,255	3,948,638	3,684,203

Consulting and management fees, including director fees totaled \$1,755,124 for the six months ended March 31, 2014, (2013 - \$976,443). The net increase is due primarily to a severance payment of \$1,000,000 paid, pursuant to a retirement agreement, to a company controlled by the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer.

Financial Advisory Services decreased to \$39,364 in the six months end March 31, 2014. The decrease of \$310,369, over the comparative period, is due primarily to the termination in the prior period of a consulting agreement with Deloitte for financial advisory services.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

Professional fees were \$483,282 for the six months ended March 31, 2014 (2013 - \$225,449). The increase in professional fees for the current period is due to legal and related fees in connection to the Concerned Shareholder Information Circular dated November 8, 2013, as well the legal fees surrounding the retirement of the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer.

Travel costs were \$457,348 for the six months ended March 31, 2014 (2013 - \$910,942). Travel costs are primarily related to the Company's attendance at investor conferences and meetings in Asia and Europe. During the current period, the Company implemented spending reductions of travel as part of its cost savings effort resulting in a decrease of \$453,594 over the prior period.

General administrative expenses recorded in the statement of operations reflect the normal corporate business cycle. The Company strives to provide efficient and cost-effective administrative support to management's ongoing efforts to monitor production costs, and increase shareholder value.

The details of the changes in the consolidated finance expense for the three and six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest on loans	247,620	261,175	531,496	509,773
Finance fee on construction of New Mill	-	126,404	-	187,670
Interest on amount due to Dahedong	184,545	-	378,636	-
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	28,614	22,982	57,190	45,923
Total	460,779	410,561	967,322	743,366

Net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2014, was \$2,388,097 (\$0.00 per share) compared to a loss of \$1,011,868 (\$0.00 per share) for the comparative period.

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

The financial results for each of the eight most recently completed quarters are summarized below:

	March 31, 2014 -\$-	December 31, 2013 -\$-	September 30, 2013 -\$-	June 30, 2013 -\$-
Net revenues	\$7,614,531	\$3,871,193	\$9,834,158	\$3,551,168
Net loss	(\$15,476)	(\$2,327,621)	(\$3,188,303)	(\$1,663,384)
Per share	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)
	March 31, 2013 -\$-	December 31, 2012 -\$-	September 30, 2012 -\$-	June 30, 2012 -\$-
Net revenues	\$8,681,386	\$4,343,956	\$13,895,744	\$5,198,838
Net loss	(\$959,866)	(\$52,002)	\$111,721	(\$2,448,560)
Per share	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)	(\$0.00)

Significant variations in the net revenues and net loss between periods are primarily due to variations in gold sales, and the volatility of metal prices.

LIQUIDITY

The Company's liquidity requirements arise principally from the need for working capital to finance expansion of its mining and processing operations. The Company's principal sources of funds have been proceeds from the borrowing from various financial institutions in China, equity financings, and cash

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

generated from operations. The Company's liquidity depends primarily on its ability to generate cash flow from its operations and to obtain external financing to meet its debt obligations as they become due, as well as the Company's future operating and capital expenditure requirements.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$10,678,892 (September 30, 2013 - \$16,365,423) and had a working capital deficit of \$3,979,318 (September 30, 2013 - (\$1,247,496)).

Majestic began the six months ended March 31, 2014, with \$16,365,423 in cash and cash equivalents. During the six months ended March 31, 2014, the Company had a net cash outflow from operating activities of \$3,169,664, net of working capital changes, spent \$655,176 on investing activities which was attributable to the purchase of property, plant and equipment, spent \$1,151,604 on financing activities which was primarily attributable to loans borrowing repayments, net of loan borrowings, and had foreign exchange loss of \$710,087, to end at March 31, 2014, with \$10,678,892 in cash and cash equivalents.

Majestic began the six months ended March 31, 2013, with \$24,974,244 in cash and cash equivalents. During the six months ended March 31, 2013, the Company had a net cash outflow from operating activities of \$3,491,914, net of working capital changes, spent \$1,594,688 on investing activities which was attributable to the purchase of property, plant and equipment, received \$731,672 from financing activities which was primarily attributable to loans borrowings, net of borrowing repayments, and had foreign exchange loss of \$363,123, to end at March 31, 2013, with \$20,256,191 in cash and cash equivalents.

Management considers its operating cash flows to be sufficient to cover the next twelve months to meet its planned exploration, development, operational activities, and its current outstanding debts. The Company has completed its mining and production facilities and is now dependent on achieving consistent profitable income from operations. Revenue and expenses should increase as production increases with the mill reaching full capacity. Should this not be achieved, the Company will continue to be dependent on raising additional funds to meet operational requirements and ultimately upon achieving profitable operations.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

At the date of this MD&A, the Company has 33,825,000 stock options at exercise prices ranging from CAD\$0.12 to CAD\$0.20. In addition, the Company has 222,500,000 share purchase warrants at an exercise price of CAD\$0.20. All stock options, and share purchase warrants and finders warrants will, if exercised, provide additional cash. At the date of this MD&A, the stock options and share purchase warrants outstanding are "out of the money".

As at the date of this MD&A, other than as described herein and in the Financial Report, the Company has no other arrangements for sources of financing.

In management's view, given the nature of the Company's operations, which consists of exploration, mining and evaluation of mining properties, the most relevant financial information relates primarily to current liquidity, solvency and planned property expenditures. The Company's financial success will be dependent upon the extent to which it can discover mineralization and the economic viability of developing its properties. Such development may take years to complete and the amount of resulting income, if any, is difficult to determine. The sales value of any minerals discovered by the Company is largely dependent upon factors beyond the Company's control, including the market value of the metals to be produced.

OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA AS AT THE DATE OF THIS MD&A

Authorized: an unlimited number of common shares without par value.	Common shares issued and outstanding	Common share purchase warrants	Stock options
Outstanding at March 31, 2014 and at the date of this MD&A	839,765,216	222,500,000	33,825,000

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Related party transactions

The Company incurred the following related party transactions during the three and six months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	-\$-	-\$-	-\$-	-\$-
Consulting fees charged by companies controlled by directors and officers of the Company	37,984	262,961	1,347,786	503,368
Director fees	66,260	-	76,260	-
Rent charged by companies controlled by directors of the Company	-	16,330	-	16,330
Legal fees charged by a law firm jointly controlled by a former Director	-	42,325	-	72,483
Mining and milling services charged by Dahedong	2,996,637	4,295,683	7,301,773	8,421,705
Interest charged by Dahedong	184,559	-	378,636	-
	3,285,440	4,617,299	9,104,455	9,013,886

Compensation of key management personnel

The remuneration of directors and other members of key management personnel, which are include the amounts disclosed above, were as follows:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Management fees	62,025	76,609	130,700	116,453
Director fees	66,260	-	76,260	-
	128,285	76,609	206,960	116,453

Key management included the Company's directors, executive officers and senior management. These transactions occurred in the normal course of operations and are measured at their exchange amounts, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the parties.

NEW STANDARDS ADOPTED DURING THE PERIOD

The Company adopted the following new accounting standards:

New standard IFRS 13 "Fair value measurement"

This new standard replaces the fair value measurement guidance currently included in various other IFRS standards with a single definition of fair value and extensive application guidance. IFRS 13 provides guidance on how to measure fair value and does not introduce new requirements for when fair value is required or permitted. It also establishes disclosure requirements to provide users of the financial statements with more information about fair value measurements.

NEW STANDARDS, INTERPRETATIONS AND AMENDMENTS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

New standard IFRS 9 "Financial Instruments"

This new standard is a partial replacement of IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement". IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets.

The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

methods in IAS 39. The effect date of this new standard has not been specified.

Amendments to IAS 32 "Financial Instruments: Presentation"

These amendments address inconsistencies when applying the offsetting requirements, and are effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Other accounting standards or amendments to existing accounting standards that have been issued but have future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

COMMITMENT AND CONTINGENCIES

Commitments and contingencies include principal and interest payments of Company's bank loans, expenditure commitments on its mineral properties and future aggregate minimum operating lease payments required under the operating leases as described in the notes to the Financial Report.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

At March 31, 2014, the Company had no off-balance sheet arrangement such as guarantee contracts, contingent interest in assets transferred to an entity, derivative instruments obligations or any obligations that trigger financing, liquidity, market or credit risk to the Company.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

Financial Instruments

The Company classifies its financial instruments in the following categories: at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments, available-for-sale and financial liabilities. The classification depends on the purpose for which the financial instruments were acquired. Management determines the classification of its financial instruments at initial recognition.

Financial assets are classified at fair value through profit or loss when they are either held for trading for the purpose of short-term profit taking, derivatives not held for hedging purposes, or when they are designated as such to avoid an accounting mismatch or to enable performance evaluation where a group of financial assets is managed by key management personnel on a fair value basis in accordance with a documented risk management or investment strategy. Such assets are subsequently measured at fair value with changes in carrying value being included in profit or loss.

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market and are subsequently measured at amortized cost. They are included in current assets, except for maturities greater than 12 months after the end of the reporting period. These are classified as non-current assets.

Held-to-maturity investments are non-derivative financial assets that have fixed maturities and fixed or determinable payments, and it is the Company's intention to hold these investments to maturity. They are subsequently measured at amortized cost. Held-to-maturity investments are included in non-current assets, except for those which are expected to mature within 12 months after the end of the reporting period.

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available-for-sale or are not suitable to be classified as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables or held-to-maturity investments and are subsequently measured at fair value. These are included in current assets to the extent they are expected to be realized within 12 months after the end of the reporting period. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in other comprehensive income, except for impairment losses and foreign exchange gains and losses on monetary financial assets.

Non-derivative financial liabilities (excluding financial guarantees) are subsequently measured at amortized cost. Regular purchases and sales of financial assets are recognized on the trade-date – the date on which the group commits to purchase the asset.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

Financial assets are derecognized when the rights to receive cash flows from the investments have expired or have been transferred and the Company has transferred substantially all risks and rewards of ownership.

At each reporting date, the Company assesses whether there is objective evidence that a financial instrument has been impaired. In the case of available-for-sale financial instruments, a significant and prolonged decline in the value of the instrument is considered to determine whether an impairment has arisen.

The Company does not have any derivative financial assets and liabilities.

Risk Management

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation and cause the other party to incur a financial loss. The Company's primary exposure to credit risk is on its cash held in bank accounts. The majority of cash is deposited in bank accounts held with major banks in Canada and China. The credit risk associated with cash held in Canada is reduced by management ensuring that the Company uses a major Canadian financial institution with strong investment grade ratings by a primary ratings agency. The credit risk associated with cash held in China is reduced, but not fully mitigated, by management using a financial institution that is operated by the Government of China.

Liquidity Risk

The Company ensures that there is sufficient capital in order to meet short-term business requirements, after taking into account the Company's holdings of cash. The Company's cash is invested in interest bearing accounts which are available on demand. Management believes the Company has sufficient cash on hand to finance operations for the next twelve months.

Industry Risk

The Company is a mining and exploration company with properties and mining operations focused in China. Its mining activities involve numerous inherent risks. The Company is subject to various financial, equities markets, operational and political risks that could significantly affect its operations and cash flows. These risks include changes in local laws affecting the mining industry, a decline in the price of commodities, uncertainties inherent in estimating mineral resources and fluctuations in the foreign currencies CNY against the US dollar. The Company does not use derivatives or hedging to mitigate the risk of changes in the price of gold or currency fluctuations.

The Company's business is highly dependent on the price of gold and venture capital markets, which are impacted by volatility factors the Company cannot control. A decrease in the price of gold could adversely affect the Company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Lower gold prices may result in asset impairment, write-downs of mineral property carrying values and limitations in access to capital.

The Company operates in China and is exposed to the laws governing the mining industry in China. The Chinese government is currently supportive of the mining industry but there is uncertainty in future changes to government policies and regulations including taxation, repatriation of profits, restrictions on production, export controls, environmental compliance and expropriation. These factors could adversely affect the Company's exploration efforts and production plans.

The Company's property is located in an area that can experience severe winter weather conditions which could adversely affect mining and exploration programs. In addition, the Company is subject to changes in environmental laws and regulations that may result in unexpected costs.

Market Risk

The significant market risks to which the Company is exposed are interest rate risk, currency risk and other commodity price risk. These are discussed further below:

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that future cash flows will fluctuate as a result of changes in market interest rates. The Company's cash consists of cash held in bank accounts that earn interest at variable interest

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

rates. The Company's loans payable accrues interest at fixed rates. Due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments, fluctuations in market rates do not have a significant impact on the estimated fair value as of March 31, 2014.

Currency Risk

Currency risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in foreign exchange rates. The Company is exposed to currency risk to the extent expenditures incurred or funds received and balances maintained by the Company are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the entity completing the transaction or holding the funds. The Company does not manage currency risks through hedging or other currency based derivatives. The Company and its subsidiaries do not have significant transactions or hold significant cash denominated in currencies other than their functional currencies. Therefore, this risk is considered minimal.

Other Price Risk

Other price risk is the risk that the fair or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices, other than those arising from interest rate risk, price risk or foreign exchange risk.

The Company is exposed to changes in market prices as this can impact the value of its investments.

The Company is exposed to changes in the price of gold which affects its earnings and cash flows. Changes in the price of gold will impact the profits and resulting cash flows of the company and could potentially impact the classification and amounts of certain liabilities, most notably the asset retirement obligation.

As at March 31 2014, the Company has no contracts or agreements in place to mitigate these price risks.

DIRECTORS

Certain directors of the Company are also directors, officers and/or shareholders of other companies that are similarly engaged in the business of acquiring, developing and exploring mineral properties. Such associations may give rise to conflicts of interest from time to time. The directors of the Company are required to act in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and to disclose any interest, which they may have, in any project opportunity of the Company. If a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the board of directors, any directors in a conflict will disclose their interests and abstain from voting in such matters. In determining whether or not the Company will participate in any project or opportunity, the directors will primarily consider the degree of risk to which the Company may be exposed and its financial position at the time.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company's financial statements and the other financial information included in this management report are the responsibility of the Company's management, and have been examined and approved by the audit committee of the Board of Directors. The financial statements were prepared by management in accordance with IFRS and include certain amounts based on management's best estimates using careful judgment. The selection of accounting principles and methods is management's responsibility.

Management recognizes its responsibility for conducting the Company's affairs in a manner to comply with the requirements of applicable laws and established financial standards and principles, and for maintaining proper standards of conduct in its activities.

The Board of Directors supervises the financial statements and other financial information through its audit committee, which is comprised by a majority of independent directors.

This committee's role is to examine and the interim financial statements and recommend that the Board of Directors approve the annual financial statements, to examine the internal control and information protection systems and all other matters relating to the Company's accounting and finances. In order to do so, the audit committee meets annually with the external auditors, with or without the Company's

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

management, to review their respective audit plans and discuss the results of their examination. This committee is responsible for recommending the appointment of the external auditors or the renewal of their engagement.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The financing, exploration, development and mining of any of the Company's properties is subject to a number of factors, including the price of gold, laws and regulations, political conditions, currency fluctuations, hiring qualified people and obtaining necessary services in jurisdictions where the Company operates.

The following is a discussion of those distinctive or special characteristics of the Company's operations and industry that may have a material impact on, or constitute risk factors in respect of the Company's operations and future financial performance.

Additional risks not currently known by the Company, or that the Company currently deems immaterial, may also impair the Company's operations.

Exploration and mining risks

Exploration for minerals and development of mining operations involve many risks, many of which are outside the Company's control. In addition to the normal and usual risks of exploration and mining, the Company often works in remote locations that lack the benefit of infrastructure or easy access.

The economics of developing gold and other mineral properties are affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations of the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in the price of gold or other minerals produced, costs of processing equipment and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Depending on the price of gold or other minerals produced, which have fluctuated widely in the past, the Company may determine it is impractical to commence or continue commercial production.

Reserves and resource estimates

The mineral and resources estimates disclosed in the Company's public filings are only estimates and no assurances can be given that any particular level of recovery of minerals will be realized or that an identified resource will ever qualify as a commercially mineable deposit which can be legally and economically exploited. The Company relies on laboratory-based recovery models to project estimated ultimate recoveries by ore type at optimal crush sizes. Actual gold recoveries may exceed or fall short of projected laboratory test results. As stated previously, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from the one indicated by the drilling results and the difference may be material. Production can be affected by such factors as permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations, inaccurate or incorrect geologic, metallurgical or engineering work, and work interruptions among other things. Short-term factors, such as the need for an orderly development of deposits or the processing of new or different grades, may have an adverse effect on mining operations or the results of those operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under onsite conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in proven and probable reserves or resource grades, waste-to-ore ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects. The estimated proven and probable reserves and resources disclosed in the Company's public filings should not be interpreted as assurances of mine life or of the profitability of future operations.

The Company has engaged expert independent technical consultants to advise it on, among other things, mineral reserves and resources and project engineering. The Company believes these experts are competent and that they have carried out their work in accordance with internationally recognized standards. If, however, the work conducted by these experts is ultimately found to be incorrect or inadequate in any material respect, the Company may experience delays and increased costs.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

The Company's production decision was not based on a feasibility study of mineral reserves demonstrating economic and technical viability. The Company's production decision was made based on the open pit optimization resource model set out in a PEA, which takes into account the relatively low mining costs negotiated by the Company. The pit optimization that was conducted in the preliminary assessment generated a production schedule summary at grade cut-off of 0.30 gram per tonne Au.

The Songjiagou Gold Project resource estimate was carried out using industry-standard procedures and a geological interpretation of the deposit that, to the extent possible, reflected observations of grade distributions. Modeling of the deposit is uncertain, however, because it is difficult to establish with a high level of confidence the area of influence of higher-grade gold values. The risk remains, therefore, that the geological model may overstate the distribution of high-grade gold values. If future mining demonstrates that this is in fact the case, then the model may overstate anticipated gold grades. Because the probability of this outcome is unknown, the level of uncertainty must also be unknown.

Foreign countries, laws and regulations

The Company operates primarily in China and is exposed to the laws governing the mining industry in China. The Chinese government is currently supportive of the mining industry but there is uncertainty in future changes to government policies and regulations including taxation, repatriation of profits, restrictions on production, export controls, environmental compliance and expropriation. These factors could adversely affect the Company's exploration efforts and production plans.

Commodity prices

The profitability of the Company's operations, if established, will be dependent upon the market price of mineral commodities. Mineral prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. The level of interest rates, the rate of inflation, world supply of mineral commodities, consumption patterns, sales of gold by central banks, forward sales by producers, production, industrial and jewelry demand, speculative activities and stability of exchange rates can all cause significant fluctuations in prices. Such external economic factors are in turn influenced by changes in international investment patterns, monetary systems and political development. The prices of mineral commodities have fluctuated widely in recent years. Current and future price declines could cause commercial production of the Company's properties to become impracticable.

The Company's revenues and earnings also could be affected by the prices of other commodities such as fuel and other consumable items, although to a lesser extent than by the price of gold. The prices of these commodities are affected by numerous factors beyond the Company's control.

A reduction in the price of gold may prevent the Company's properties from being economically mined or result in the write-off of assets whose value is impaired as a result of low gold prices. The price of gold may also have a significant influence on the market price of the Company's common shares.

Environmental compliance and other regulatory requirements

Any significant mining operation will have some environmental impact, including land and habitat impact, arising from the use of land for mining and related activities, and certain impact on water resources near the project sites, resulting from water use, rock disposal and drainage run-off. The current exploration and mining activities of the Company require permits from various governmental authorities and such operations are, and will be, governed by laws and regulations governing exploration, mining, labour standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, safety, mine permitting and other matters. Companies engaged in exploration and mining activities generally experience increased costs and delays as a result of the need to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits. There can be no assurance that all permits the Company may require for exploration, development and mining will be obtainable on reasonable terms or on a timely basis, or that such laws and regulations would not have an adverse effect on any project the Company may undertake.

The Company's operations are subject to local laws and regulations regarding environmental matters, which generally provide for restrictions and prohibitions on spills, releases or emissions of various substances produced in association with certain mining industry operations, such as seepage from tailings

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

disposal areas, which would result in environmental pollution. A breach of such legislation may result in imposition of fines and penalties. In addition, certain types of mining operations require the submission and approval of environmental impact assessments. Environmental legislation is evolving towards stricter standards, and enforcement, fines and penalties for non-compliance are becoming more stringent. An environmental assessment of a proposed project carries a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their directors, officers and employees.

Environmental laws and regulations change frequently, and the implementation of new, or the modification of existing laws or regulations could harm the Company. The Company cannot predict how agencies or courts in foreign countries will interpret existing laws and regulations or the effect these adoptions and interpretations may have on the Company's business or financial condition.

Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations, and permitting requirements may result in enforcement actions there-under, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions. Parties engaged in exploration and mining operations may be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of the exploration and mining activities and may have civil or criminal fines or penalties imposed for violations of applicable laws or regulations and, in particular, environmental laws.

No assurances can be given that such environmental and compliance issues will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations in the future. While the Company believes it does not currently have any material environmental obligations, the cost of complying with existing regulations or changes in governmental regulations have the potential to reduce the profitability of operations, may give rise in the future to significant liabilities on the Company's part to the government and third parties, and may require the Company to incur substantial costs of remediation.

Insurance and uninsured risks

The business of the Company is subject to a number of risks and hazards generally, including adverse environmental conditions, industrial accidents, labour disputes, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, ground or slope failures, cave-ins, changes in the regulatory environment and natural phenomena such as inclement weather conditions, floods and earthquakes. Such occurrences could result in damage to mineral properties or production facilities, personal injury or death, environmental damage to properties of the Company or others, delays in mining, monetary losses and possible legal liability.

Although the Company maintains insurance to protect against certain risks in such amounts it considers reasonable, its insurance will not cover all the potential risks associated with its operations and insurance coverage may not continue to be available or may not be adequate to cover any resulting liability. It is not always possible to obtain insurance against all such risks and the Company may decide not to insure against certain risks because of high premiums or other reasons. Moreover, insurance against risks such as environmental pollution or other hazards as a result of exploration and production is not generally available to the Company or to other companies in the mining industry on acceptable terms. Losses from these events may cause the Company to incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect upon its financial performance and results of operations.

Dependence on key personnel

The success of the Company will be largely dependent upon the performance of its key officers, employees and consultants. Local mineral deposits and mining operations depend on a number of factors, not the least of which is the technical skill of the exploration and mining personnel involved. The success of the Company is largely dependent on the performance of its key personnel. Failure to retain key personnel or to attract or retain additional key individuals with necessary skills could have a materially adverse impact upon the Company's success. The Company has not purchased any "key man" insurance with respect to any of its directors, officers or key employees and has no current plans to do so.

Property interests

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

The ability of the Company to carry out successful mineral exploration, development activities and mining operations is dependent, in part, on the Company's ability to acquire and maintain title to its mineral interests. No guarantee can be given that the Company will be in a position to comply with the obligations that this requirement entails, or to require third parties to comply with their obligations with respect to such properties. Furthermore, while it is common practice that permits and licenses may be renewed, extended or transferred into other forms of licenses appropriate for ongoing operations, no guarantee can be given that a renewal, extension or a transfer will be granted to the Company or, if they are granted, that the Company will be in a position to comply with all conditions that are imposed.

Furthermore, at any point in time certain of the Company's mineral interests may be the subject of contractual promises to assign, pursuant to which a third party has agreed to assign to the Company, or to an entity in which the Company holds its interest in the applicable property, certain licenses and/or concession contracts upon the issuance of such licenses or concession contracts by the mining registry to the promising party. The failure of a promising party to comply with its contractual obligation could have a materially adverse impact on the Company's interests in the license or concession.

The acquisition of the right to exploit, develop and/or mine its mineral properties is a detailed and time-consuming process. Although the company is satisfied it has taken reasonable measures to acquire unencumbered rights to explore on and exploit its mineral reserves on its mineral interests, there can be no assurances the interest in the Company's properties is free from defects or that the material contracts between the Company and the entities owned or controlled by foreign government will not be unilaterally altered or revoked. There can be no assurances the Company's rights will not be challenged or impugned by third parties, that the Company's interests in properties may be subject to prior unregistered agreements, or that transfers and title may be affected by undetected defects.

ADDITIONAL FINANCIAL MEASURES

The Company has included additional financial performance measures in this MD&A, such as total cash costs, and total production costs, on a per gold ounce basis. The Company reports total cash and production costs on a sales basis. In the gold mining industry, this is a common performance measure but does not have any standardized meaning. The Company believes that, in addition to conventional measures prepared in accordance with IFRS, certain investors use this information to evaluate the Company's performance and ability to generate cash flow. Accordingly, it is intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with IFRS.

"Total cash costs per ounce" is calculated from operation's cash costs, which include resource taxes, and dividing the sum by the number of gold ounces sold. Operations cash costs include mining, milling, smelter and other direct costs.

"Total production costs per ounce" are calculated by adding depreciation, amortization and depletion to total cash costs and dividing the sum by the number of ounces of gold sold.

"All-in sustaining cash costs per ounce" includes total cash costs per ounce (as defined above) and adds the sum of G&A, sustaining capital and certain exploration and evaluation costs, all divided by the number of ounces sold. As this measure seeks to reflect the full cost of gold production from current operations, new project capital is not included in the calculation of all-in sustaining costs per ounce. Additionally, certain other cash expenditures, including income and equity tax payments and financing costs, are not included.

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

The following tables provide reconciliation to the consolidated financial statements of total cash costs per ounce, and total production costs per ounce:

	Three months ended March 31,		Six months ended March 31,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Gold sold (ozs)	5,466	5,144	8,360	7,483
Total cash costs per ounce				
Contractor costs paid to Dahedong	\$ 2,996,637	\$ 4,295,683	\$ 7,301,773	\$ 8,421,705
Smelting costs	122,773	128,276	256,689	179,344
Resource taxes	498,760	214,815	710,952	310,165
Other direct costs	108,161	251,267	272,265	321,387
Changes in ending gold concentrate inventory	1,787,968	1,083,064	(524,300)	(1,421,127)
Total cash costs	\$ 5,514,299	\$ 5,973,105	\$ 8,017,379	\$ 7,811,474
Per ounce sold	\$ 1,009	\$ 1,161	\$ 959	\$ 1,044
Total production costs per ounce				
Total cash costs	\$ 5,514,299	\$ 5,973,105	\$ 8,017,379	\$ 7,811,474
Depreciation	437,623	353,126	806,505	750,603
Amortization of deferred income	-	(60,147)	-	(122,050)
Total production costs	\$ 5,951,922	\$ 6,266,084	\$ 8,823,884	\$ 8,440,027
Per ounce sold	\$ 1,089	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,055	\$ 1,128
All-in sustaining costs per ounce ⁽¹⁾				
Total cash costs	\$ 5,514,299		\$ 8,017,379	
General and administrative, net of depreciation	1,175,127		3,948,638	
Sustaining capital	3,187		33,914	
All-in sustaining costs	\$ 6,692,613		\$ 11,999,931	
Per ounce sold	\$ 1,224		\$ 1,435	

(1) Adopted by the Company on a prospective basis in 2014 fiscal year. As such, comparative information is not presented.

Sustaining capital expenditures are defined as those expenditures which do not increase annual gold ounce production and excludes all expenditures at the Company's projects and certain expenditures at the Company's operations which are deemed to be expansionary in nature. The following table reconciles sustaining capital expenditures to the Company's total additions as reported in the interim condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended March 31, 2014:

Additions to property, plant and equipment	
Songjiagou Gold Mine	\$ 621,262
Sustaining capital	33,914
	\$ 655,176

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains or incorporates by reference "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable Canadian securities legislation. Except for statements of historical fact relating to the Company, information contained herein constitutes forward-looking statements, including any information as to the Company's strategy, plans or future financial or operating performance. Forward-looking statements are characterized by words such as "plan", "expect", "budget", "target", "project", "intend", "believe", "anticipate", "estimate" and other similar words, or statements that certain events or conditions "may" or "will" occur. Forward-looking statements are based on the opinions, assumptions and estimates of management considered reasonable at the date the statements are made, and are inherently subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and other known and unknown factors that could cause actual events or

Majestic Gold Corp.
Management's Discussion and Analysis
For the six months ended March 31, 2014

results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. These factors include the impact of general business and economic conditions, global liquidity and credit availability on the timing of cash flows and the values of assets and liabilities based on projected future conditions, fluctuating gold prices, currency exchange rates, possible variations in ore grade or recovery rates, changes in accounting policies, changes in the Company's corporate resources, changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined, changes in project development, construction, production and commissioning time frames, risk related to joint venture operations, the possibility of project cost overruns or unanticipated costs and expenses, higher prices for fuel, steel, power, labour and other consumables contributing to higher costs and general risks of the mining industry, failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated, unexpected changes in mine life, unanticipated results of future studies, seasonality and unanticipated weather changes, costs and timing of the development of new deposits, success of exploration activities, permitting time lines, government regulation of mining operations, environmental risks, unanticipated reclamation expenses, title disputes or claims, limitations on insurance coverage and timing and possible outcome of pending litigation and labour disputes, as well as those risk factors discussed or referred to in the Company's Management's Discussion and Analysis for the six months ended March 31, 2014, filed with the applicable securities regulatory authorities and available at SEDAR www.sedar.com. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update forward-looking statements if circumstances or management's estimates, assumptions or opinions should change, except as required by applicable law. The reader is cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking information contained herein is presented for the purpose of assisting investors in understanding the Company's expected financial and operational performance and results as at and for the periods ended on the dates presented in the Company's plans and objectives, and may not be appropriate for other purposes.

Other Information

Additional information relating to the Company is available for viewing on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and at the Company's web site www.majesticgold.net.